UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,797	11/26/2003	Javier Alarcon	P-6013	4139
46851 7590 11/08/2007 DAVID W. HIGHET, VP & CHIEF IP COUNSEL			EXAMINER	
BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY 1 BECTON DRIVE, MC110		BERHANU, ETSUB D		
	AKES, NJ 07417-1880		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3768	
	·	•		
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	•	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/721,797	ALARCON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Etsub D. Berhanu	3768
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04.5	September 2007.	
	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	·	
4) Claim(s) 1,3,6,7,12,19-32 and 34-46 is/are pe	ending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1,3,6,7,12,19-32 and 34-46</u> is/are re	jected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) Dobjected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • •	• •
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received in A	Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	received in this National Stage
application from the International Burea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not	received.
	•	
Attachment(c)	•	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview 9	Summary (PTO-413)
		s)/Mail Date
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		nformal Patent Application

Page 2

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a

prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 1, 3, 6, 7, 12, 19-32, 34, 35, 37, 38 and 40-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Alcala et al.'405 (previously cited) further in view of Lakowicz et al.'534 (previously

cited) further in view of Crowley'229 (USPN 6,289,229).

See rejection set forth in paragraph 2 of Office Action mailed out 20 October 2006.

Alcala et al.'405 further in view of Lakowicz et al.'534 discloses all the elements of the current

invention, as discussed in paragraph 2 of the previous Office Action, except for the device comprising a

needle or catheter tip that houses and protects the optical conduit and the sensing element within the tip.

Crowley'229 teaches the use of a needle or catheter as a cover/tip of a sensing device in order to be able

to easily introduce the sensing device within the body of a subject and also to locate the sensing device

precisely within the test subject while causing minimal trauma to the surrounding tissue of the subject

(col. 6, lines 36-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention to modify the device of Alcala et al.'405 further in view of Lakowicz et al.'534 to include a

catheter or needle tip that houses the optical conduit and sensing element, as taught by Crowley'229,

since it would allow the sensing device to be easily introduced into a test subject as well as the precise

locating of the sensing device within the test subject.

3. Claims 36 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alcala et

al.'405, further in view of Lakowicz et al.'534 further in view of Crowley'299, as applied to claim 1,

further in view of Darrow et al.'651 (previously cited).

Art Unit: 3768

Alcala et al.'405 further in view of Lakowicz et al.'534 further in view of Crowley'299 discloses all the elements of the current invention, as discussed in paragraph 2 above, except for the device comprising at least one reference group, wherein the reference group and reporter group are excited at the same wavelengths and wherein the luminescence of the reporter group and reference group are detected at the same wavelength.

Darrow et al.'651 teaches the use of a reference group having excitation and emission wavelengths similar to a fluorophore of interest while using a phase-modulation method in order to minimize instrumental errors (page 24, sections [0306] and [0310]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the sensing element of Alcala et al.'405 further in view of Lakowicz et al.'534 further in view of Crowley'229 to include a reference fluorophore having excitation and emission wavelengths similar to the fluorophore of interest, as taught by Darrow et al.'651, since it would minimize instrumental errors. It is noted that the sensing element discussed in Example 5 of Lakowicz et al.'534 uses the phase-modulation method discussed in Darrow et al.'651.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments, see lines 1-6 of page 8 of the Remarks, filed 04 September 2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 3, 6, 7, 12, 19-32 and 34-36 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Alcala et al.'405, Lakowicz et al.'534, Darrow et al.'651 and Crowley'229.

Conclusion

Page 4

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Etsub D. Berhanu whose telephone number is 571.272.6563. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (7:00 - 3:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on (571)272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3768

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ÉDB